



May 5, 2016

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Edward C. Starr, City Manager 

SUBJECT: CITY MANAGER'S WEEKLY REPORT: April 29-May 5, 2016

CITY MANAGER/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DEPARTMENTS

- Today, National Day of Prayer brought City employees and community members together for the Mayor's Prayer Luncheon, held at the Montclair Community Center. The event was sponsored by the City of Montclair and Montclair Chamber of Commerce and was coordinated by Vicki Brobeck, Police Department Chaplain and Pastor at Grace Fellowship Foursquare Church.

Event sponsors were Montclair Chamber of Commerce, Care & Company, Cuning Dental Group, Our Lady of Lourdes School, and City of Montclair.

An inspiring Invocation by Chaplain Brobeck began the program, and the Pledge of Allegiance was led by Master Sergeant Arturo Padilla. Mayor Paul Eaton greeted the guests and thanked everyone for participating in the National Day of Prayer.

A National Anthem video was shown prior to lunch and the prayer offerings. Leading in prayer were: Pastor Josh Matlock, Bethany of Montclair; Dr. Jeannette Storms, Kingdom Connexion President, Los Angeles; Chaplain Joe Cano, Montclair Fire Department; and Pastor Sandra O'Neal, Fountain of Love Christian Center, Pomona. There were also three songs performed this year by Shane Roberts, Worship Leader.

Mayor Eaton extends his sincere appreciation to the Human Services Department for its assistance, the Montclair Chamber of Commerce for its co-sponsorship, all those that led in prayer, Los Portales for catering the delicious buffet lunch, and Chaplain Vicki Brobeck for organizing what has become a wonderful annual event.

Photos are featured on Page 16.

- Last week, an Orange County judge ruled that two private investigators involved in an alleged fake DUI report against a Costa Mesa city council member can be tried on charges of false imprisonment and conspiracy. The decision upholds an earlier ruling. In his decision, the judge agreed there is sufficient evidence for the two private investigators to stand trial on charges that they conspired in advance about the alleged false police report filed with the Costa Mesa Police Department.

In August 2015, a magistrate had ruled that a sobriety test a member of the Costa Mesa Police Department conducted on the council member did not constitute a detention, nullifying the District Attorney's charge of false imprisonment and conspiracy. That decision was reversed in December 2015 by a Superior Court judge. Attorneys for the private investigators challenged the reversal; however, that motion was quashed last week.

The two private investigators will now face felony counts of conspiracy and false imprisonment related to the DUI stop, as well as two additional felony counts of conspiracy on allegations they used GPS devices to illegally track a second Costa Mesa council member. In 2012, the U.S. Supreme Court had ruled that the use of GPS trackers by law enforcement constitutes a search that falls under the Fourth Amendment's protections against unreasonable searches and seizures. For private individuals, the laws are less clear. California allows the use of GPS trackers, but only where the owner of the vehicle consents to it being monitored. Without consent, it is a misdemeanor, punishable by a fine and jail sentence of up to 12 months. If convicted on all the charges, the two private investigators could face up to four years and four months in prison.

According to the *Los Angeles Times*, prosecutors allege the two private investigators, "working for the now-defunct law firm Lackie, Dammeier, McGill & Ethir [LDME], which represented the Costa Mesa Police Association at the time," were trying to discredit Costa Mesa city council members prior to the city's 2012 General Election in which two council members were up for re-election. Prior to disbanding in November 2013, LDME represented over 100 other police and fire associations in Southern California, including the Montclair Police Officers Association and the Montclair Fire Fighters Association.

In an affidavit filed by the Orange County District Attorney's Office, prosecutors allege that prior to the filing of the alleged "fake DUI report," the Costa Mesa Police Association had increased the law firm's retainer with instructions to find information that could be damaging to Costa Mesa council members. To support its conclusions, the affidavit reportedly points to a number of email exchanges between police officers and ranking members of the police association, grand jury testimony, witness statements, call records, and other evidence.

The Costa Mesa Police Association and the law firm have not been charged with a crime in relation to the private investigators' alleged activities. Members of the Costa Mesa Police Association have been granted immunity in exchange for their testimony. The Costa Mesa Police Association fired the law firm soon after the alleged DUI incident became public.

LDME disbanded following accusations by the Police Officers Research Association (PORAC) of California that a partner with the law firm had reportedly engaged in triple-billing, apparently working on three separate cases simultaneously and billing the Legal Defense Fund (LDF) triple for each hour spent working concurrently on the cases. In one example, PORAC reported an LDME attorney billed for 4,275 hours in one year—an attorney would have to work 11.5 hours daily, 365 days a year to reach 4,200 hours. PORAC reports that the annual billable average for a LDF attorney is 1,800 to 2,000 hours.

Some of the billings allegedly occurred while an LDME attorney had been reportedly suspended by the state bar for not meeting continuing education requirements and was, thus, ineligible to practice law. PORAC also reportedly made overbilling allegations against at least two other members of LDME.

In October 2014, the chairman of PORAC's LDF announced resolution to its pending litigation with LDME. The settlement reportedly came after the LDF had filed a state court action seeking damages for overbilling. LDF had also reportedly filed a federal court action alleging a violation of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). Terms of the settlement remain confidential.

The law firm had also reportedly posted on its website a "playbook" of negotiation tactics that the Santa Ana Police Officers Association labeled "bad faith tactics."

- In a lawsuit that could have consequences nationwide related to state tax policy, online retailers are suing South Dakota for trying to collect a sales tax. If the suit makes it to the U.S. Supreme Court, state and municipal governments could finally receive resolution to their decades-long effort to collect sales taxes from online purchases.

South Dakota lawmakers provoked the suit by passing a law they knew would be challenged by retailers. The law, which went into effect May 1 and challenges a 1992 Supreme Court decision that ruled states can only tax retailers who have a "physical presence" in their respective states, allows South Dakota to collect a sales tax on Internet purchases from remote retailers who have an "economic presence" in the state.

NetChoice (an association of e-commerce retailers and online consumers) and the American Catalog Mailers Association (an advocacy group for catalog-related issues) filed its lawsuit against the state two days before the South Dakota law officially went into effect, and after the state started issuing demand notices to retailers regarding tax collections. The day before the retailers filed suit, South Dakota announced it was suing four online retailers, including Overstock.com, to collect sales taxes.

Putting the issue of taxing online sales before the courts is part of a new, coordinated effort by state legislatures across the country. According to the National Conference of State Legislators (NCSL), 34 bills in 22 states have been introduced this year that would authorize the collection of sales taxes from remote retailers; and of those bills, 6 have moved forward toward implementation.

South Dakota's legislation, however, calls for an expedited process through the state courts, which will likely make it a crucial first test case for the nation on the issue. The U.S. Supreme Court is expected to take up the case this year or next.

The renewed push comes after more than a decade in which states have tried to get Congress to consider a national law that would require online retailers to remit a sales tax for purchases made in states where that retailer doesn't have a "physical presence." Proposed federal legislation has taken various forms over the years, but has never gained much traction despite having bipartisan support.

In 2013, states hoped for a long-awaited legislative win on the issue after the U.S. Senate passed the **Marketplace Fairness Act**—the bill languishes in the House of Representatives, the victim of Congressional logjam. By some estimates, states are collectively missing out on more than \$23 billion annually in potential online sales tax revenue.

NetChoice argues that requiring retailers to remit a sales tax wherever they make a sale would be overly cumbersome, given that more than 10,000 jurisdictions across the country levy a sales tax. NCSL counters that retailers will not have to comply with thousands of different taxing regulations, arguing that the real total is more like 22 different regulations. That's because localities generally streamline their taxing definitions with their state, and about two dozen states have also streamlined their sales tax codes with each other. On top of that, software is available to businesses to automate the sales tax collection process.

If the Supreme Court does take up the case, there is evidence one Supreme Court Justice will side with South Dakota. Last year, Justice Anthony M. Kennedy invited a fresh challenge to the Court's 1992 decision, *Quill Corp. v. North Dakota*. That decision revolved around the mail-order catalogue industry and was made years before e-commerce represented a major challenge to the survival of brick and mortar stores. Justice Kennedy has called *Quill* a questionable case even when decided, arguing it harms states to a far greater degree than could have been anticipated at that time.

- Last week, Governor Jerry Brown signed legislation to provide for additional funding to administer the June 7, 2016, Presidential Primary Election.

AB 120, authored by Assembly member Phil Ting (D-San Francisco) and sponsored by the Office of the Secretary of State provides an additional \$16,288,000 to all 58 California counties to help cover costs associated with conducting the Presidential Primary Election as well as costs associated with completing statewide initiative signature verifications.

\$115,000 of the total funding will be provided to the Secretary of State's office for elections costs—including hiring more phone interpreters for the voter hotline and conducting polling place observations in all 58 California counties.

Last month the Office of the Secretary of State reached out to Governor Brown, legislative leaders, and county elections officials in anticipation of a surge in voter turnout in the Presidential Primary Election.

Overall, new voter registration has skyrocketed in the first months of 2016. There have been over 850,000 registrations in the months between January 1 and March 31. This is twice as much as was registered during the same period for the 2012 Presidential Primary Election and exceeds the total new registrations in the months leading up to the 2008 Presidential Primary Election

This year, new voter registration growth among Latinos has doubled, and there has been a more than 150 percent increase in youth voters and a near-tripling of democrats.

The swell in voter interest and increased workload in preparing vote-by-mail ballots comes at the same time that many county election officials are inundated with petitions for ballot measures.

Elections agencies in California's 58 counties are also being swamped with a massive number of petitions for the proposed November ballot initiatives. Under state law, local elections officials are required to verify petition signatures are from qualified registered voters. As many as 9.4 million signatures may need to be verified across the state.

Supporters of 13 proposed measures are still gathering the signatures needed to qualify for the November ballot and are expected to submit them to county agencies shortly before the June Primary.

- California's population grew by 0.9 percent in 2015, adding 348,000 residents to total 39,256,000 as of January 1, 2016, according to an annual population report released today by the Department of Finance.

The report shows preliminary January 2016 and revised January 2015 population data for California cities, counties, and the state. Highlights include the following:

- ✓ Growth was widely distributed among the state's geographical regions, with most cities and counties throughout the state experiencing increases in population. Many northern and eastern counties, however, saw decreases, continuing a near decade-long downward trend
- ✓ San Joaquin County was the fastest growing county in the state (over 1.3 percent), closely followed by Yolo, Riverside, and Santa Clara counties all slightly below 1.3 percent
- ✓ The City of Los Angeles, California's largest city, grew by more than 50,000 persons in 2015 to reach a population over million (4,031,000) for the first time
- ✓ California's statewide housing growth, as measured by net unit growth in completed housing units for 2015, was largely flat from the previous year. Although net units were down 3 percent (67,110 net housing units compared to 69,435 net units in 2014), wildfires accounted for

most of the decline. The losses to fire were most significant in unincorporated portions of Lake County (1,531) and Calaveras County (549)

- ✓ Multi-family housing comprised more than 50 percent of all new units in 93 of the 482 cities in California. Statewide, multi-family units represent 54.2 percent of unit growth last year, continuing a 4-year trend. Los Angeles led the state with 12,224 new multi-family units, followed by San Francisco (2,893), San Jose (2,024), and San Diego (1,746)
- ✓ Of 482 California cities, 437 had gains in population, 44 had reductions, and 1 experienced no change
- ✓ The largest numeric increases, consistent with their higher population base, occurred in the state's largest cities. Besides Los Angeles (50,000), San Diego, California's second largest city with a population of 1,392,000 added over 12,000 persons during the year. San Jose, with a population of 1,042,000, also added over 12,000 persons, while San Francisco with a population of 867,000, added over 9,000 persons in 2015.

Among cities with a population above 30,000, growth was distributed throughout California, with cities in the Inland Empire, San Joaquin Valley, South Bay Area, and Orange County comprising the top 10. Porterville with 5.3-percent growth leads this group, based largely on annexation activity. The remaining cities range in growth from 3.8 percent (Eastvale) to 2.6 percent (Clovis).

Ranked by net housing gains, Los Angeles (12,492), San Francisco (2,848), San Diego (2,837), Irvine (2,255), and San Jose (2,172) added the most housing units in 2015.

Locally, San Bernardino County saw an increase of 18,482 persons from 2015 for a total population of 2,139,570. The City of Montclair saw an increase of 354 persons from 2015 for a total population of 38,686. The City of Montclair is expected to see an increase in its total population as future residential developments are built, especially surrounding the area of North Montclair.

- Californians sharpened their water-saving efforts in March, conserving 24.3 percent compared to the amount used in March 2013—double February's savings and evidence that residents and businesses remain concerned about the persistent drought, now in its fifth year. Statewide, cumulative savings from June 2015 to March 2016 totaled 23.9 percent, compared with the same months in 2013.

With nearly 1.3 million acre-feet of water conserved from June 2015 through March 2016, the state continues to save an impressive amount of water. The March data show a strong start following renewed emergency water conservation regulations adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) on February 2. A recent Field Poll indicates that a majority of California residents

characterize the ongoing drought as "extremely serious," with three quarters of those polled committed to ongoing water conservation.

Statewide, the conservation rate doubled from 12 percent in February to 24.3 percent in March, likely due to wetter weather and more seasonal temperatures, along with awareness that drought conditions could outlast existing water supplies.

A SWRCB proposal that may offer revisions to the February 2, 2016, emergency water conservation regulations is expected soon, following review of written and oral comments from a public workshop on April 20 to receive input on conservation needs through the summer and fall. The workshop was conducted to solicit ideas for adjustments to the current emergency regulations given changes in water supply, storage, and snowpack as compared to last year's historic statewide deficiencies. This proposal will be considered by the Board for comment and adoption on May 18.

Until the Board acts in May, residents are urged to continue applying their water conservation skills and habits through the spring months to include complying with urban water supplier directives on when outdoor irrigation is permitted, not irrigating outdoors during and within 48 hours following a rain event, and fixing leaks that are discovered during individual water use audits.

An updated and extended emergency regulation was adopted by the Board on February 2, 2016, with most revisions taking effect for the March reporting period. The regulation extends restrictions on urban water use through October while providing urban water suppliers some latitude in their conservation requirements. The action follows Governor Brown's November 13, 2015, Executive Order directing the SWRCB to extend the emergency water conservation regulation through October 31, 2016, should drought conditions persist. Any changes made by the Board on May 18, would be anticipated to take effect in June and remain in effect through October 31, 2016.

March Conservation Data

- ✓ Cumulative statewide percent reduction for June 2015 to March 2016 (10 months) is 23.9 percent, which equates to 1,295,703 acre-feet (422.2 billion gallons)
- ✓ Statewide water savings for March 2016 was 24.3 percent (107,468 acre-feet or 35.0 billion gallons), more than double February 2016's 12.0 percent savings rate
- ✓ Associated with higher monthly savings, and due to the adjustments and credits included in the extended emergency regulation, March 2016 saw an increased level of compliance with 71 percent of suppliers meeting their conservation standards

- ✓ Statewide average water use was 66 residential gallons per capita per day (R-GPCD) for March 2016, which was lower than 67 R-GPCD in February 2016 and 82.5 R-GPCD in March 2015
- ✓ Locally, the Monte Vista Water District saw a cumulative water usage percentage reduction of 22.4 percent compared to 2013, exceeding its new adjusted targeted conservation standard of 22 percent.

HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT

- On Monday, an overview of Human Services Department programs was presented to the City Council as part of the Council Workshop. The presentation is available for viewing at <http://tinyurl.com/zj3mlll>. It includes three short videos filmed by high school student and City volunteer Melanie Arnold. The videos feature City employees, dignitaries, and volunteers in the following sections: Playful City USA award, Community Garden, and Online to College.
- The City of Montclair is accepting applications for four (4) vacancies on the Community Action Committee. The term length is four years, expiring on June 30, 2020.

Applications are available at City Hall's front counter during business hours. The application deadline is Thursday, June 2, 2016, at 5:30 p.m.

The Community Action Committee is an advisory body to the City Council on matters related to the evaluation of recreational programs. The Committee may propose additional City-approved programs designed to meet the cultural and social needs of the residents.

The Notice of Vacancy can be found on Page 17.

POLICE DEPARTMENT

- Monday evening's City Council meeting included the presentation of Life Saving Medals to Officers Amanda Bergman, Jerad Burns, and Eric Rivera. Chief Michael deMoet presented the medals to the three Officers for their distinguished and heroic efforts during the State Incident fire on April 4, 2016.

The three Officers also received Certificates of Recognition from Mayor Paul Eaton. Also recognized with a Mayoral Certificate of Recognition were Landmark Fence and Gate Company employees for their selfless acts during the fire. The employees came to the aid of Officer Burns and victims of the fire, and they also assisted with evacuations.

Chief deMoet then introduced Montclair Police Department's newest Officer, a bloodhound named Cù Bòcan, (Bo for short) and his handler, Officer Ben Martin.


Articles about the fire and Bo the police dog were featured in the *Inland Valley Daily Bulletin* and can be accessed below:

<http://www.dailybulletin.com/government-and-politics/20160503/montclair-police-officers-workers-recognized-for-heroic-acts-during-state-street-fire>

<http://www.dailybulletin.com/government-and-politics/20160503/montclair-police-welcomes-first-k9-police-officer-in-15-years>



Pictured L-R are Officer Eric Rivera, Officer Amanda Bergman, and Officer Jerad Burns, the recipients of the Police Department's Life Saving Medals



Police Officer Ben Martin and Canine Officer Cù Bòcan

- Last Thursday, Sergeant John Minook and Officers Ben Cypher, Jacob Riedell, Eric Rivera, and Ryan Sirimahachakul competed in All State Police Equipment's "Steel Challenge and Pistol Competition." This is the first time Montclair has fielded a team in this competition.

This day of good-natured rivalry was held at the West End Gun Club in Lytle Creek and included practical shooting scenarios which incorporated the use of various pistols and shotguns. The 30 participating teams represented agencies from Los Angeles, Orange, and San Bernardino Counties.

Despite competing against teams comprised of full-time range instructors, SWAT teams, and the LAPD Metro Division, our team did well, beating teams that included LAPD Foothill Division, the LASD Women's team 2, El Monte P.D., the LAX team, and Chino SWAT Team 3. Based on performance, our team was invited to compete at an unrelated competition in September.

Our Officers gained valuable experience and undoubtedly improved their marksmanship skills in this fun team-building event!



SUCCESSOR REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY/ MONTCLAIR HOUSING CORPORATION

- Sports Authority, the struggling chain that filed for bankruptcy in March, is weighing a sale of its assets that could end up closing most, if not all, of its 450 stores.

Under its original bankruptcy filing, the chain had planned to close 140 and to keep the rest open under the Sports Authority name. But attorneys for Sports Authority notified the federal bankruptcy court in Delaware last week that it could not win approval for that reorganization plan from its creditors and lenders.

Experts say it's now likely that the large majority, if not all, of the chain's stores will go away in relatively short order.

Industry analysts predict that if someone buys Sports Authority with the intention of keeping the chain operating under its current name, it will likely be limited to parts of the country with little competition, where competitors like Dick's Sporting Goods have not yet established themselves. Analysts further predict that the likelihood of this occurring is rather low because the integrity of the Sports Authority has been diminished.

Sports Authority had 14,500 full- and part-time employees at the time of its bankruptcy filing. When the company was bought by a hedge fund 10 years ago, it was the nation's largest sporting goods retailer, but it has struggled with the debt load associated with a leveraged buyout.

Sports Chalet filed for bankruptcy late last month and announced it would be closing all 56 stores across California, Arizona, Nevada, and Utah by the end of May 2016. The chain employed 1,200 full-time workers and 1,600 part-timers.

Store closings are a growing trend among troubled retailers for both those in bankruptcy and those that are not, such as in the case of Walmart announcing a series of store closings.

- Retailers are clamoring for the attention of a group of consumers that's growing in both number and power: millennial moms.

Chick-fil-A recently launched a service called "Mom's Valet" for parents with young children.

The service lets parents order at the drive-thru with their children in the car with them, and then go inside where a Chick-fil-A employee will have a table ready—with however many high chairs they need—and serve them.

Starbucks is also trying to cater to young parents. The coffee chain started stocking some of its stores in suburban areas with emergency diaper kits and trained its baristas to warm milk bottles and offer table service to parents with young children.

Meanwhile Target's CEO has been traveling around the country visiting the homes of millennial moms to better understand how they shop.

Millennials, who are generally defined as those in the 18 to 34 age bracket, are now the largest group in the American work force—and an increasing number of them are getting married and having babies. In fact, nearly half of millennial women are now mothers, according to US Census data, and 71 percent of them work outside the home. They represent enormous buying power for retailers.

Millennials currently spend \$170 billion per year and are projected to spend \$200 billion annually starting in 2017 and \$10 trillion in their lifetimes. Their shopping habits are far different from those of their predecessors, largely because of the influence of social media.

Millennial moms spend an average of 17.4 hours a week on social sites, according to a report released by Exponential. Nine out of ten moms in this age bracket say they share information with their friends about purchases of clothing, shoes, groceries, and electronics.

In a typical month, they "like" or recommend products or services online more than 10 times, compared to 8 times a month for all moms, and re-tweet or re-pin products 8 times, compared to 5 times a month for all moms.

So what do these young moms care about most when shopping?

They buy more organic food than the older generation of moms. They spend more time researching products before buying them, and price is more important to them than branding, according to a study by NPA, a market research company.

- The old adage "walk a mile in someone else's shoes" never rang more true.

Now, not only can you walk a mile in someone else's shoes, but you can do it while wearing her old J. Crew shirt while holding someone else's old Chanel bag.

Buying used clothes, whether out of thrift or fashion, isn't a new concept, but the last year has seen a number of consignment shops pop up online, catering particularly to stylish women.

These aren't ordinary thrift stores. They're sleek, have attractive interfaces, and have Pinterest-friendly photos ready to go. These online consignment stores sell everything from clothes you'd find at J. Crew to Birkin bags.

Investors are clamoring to get in on this business. Poshmark recently landed an additional \$25 million in funding, bringing its total funding to over \$70 million. RealReal recently got handed an additional \$40 million, bringing its funding to a total of \$123 million in funding. Industry analysts note that RealReal is looking to have an initial public offering within the next few years.

Furthermore, industry analysts predict that the higher end consignment market is expected to boom in the coming years. ThredUP, which raised a recent additional \$81 million in a round of funding led by Goldman Sachs (it had more than \$131 million as of the fall), wrote in a recent report that the industry could reach a whopping \$25 billion by 2025 by appealing to consumers who are looking for stylish clothes at discounts.

The people who are using online consignment shops—at least for shopping—are the same people you might have found in a Nordstrom Rack or J. Crew Factory in the past.

According to a report released by ThredUp's, 87 percent of people who bought used clothing online had moved away from a typical discount retailer.

That, ultimately, suggests that proliferation of these online secondhand clothing retailers may have less to do with the promising future of e-consignment shops and more to do with how individuals are increasingly seeking to pay less for more.

ECS:spa

“He who feeds a hungry animal feeds his own soul.”

~ Charlie Chaplin


MAY 2016



8	Mother's Day	
9	Planning Commission Meeting Council Chambers	7:00 p.m.
10	City Manager's Staff Meeting - Canceled	
11	Montclair Police Officers and Employees' Appreciation and Recognition Luncheon, sponsored by the Montclair Chamber of Commerce at the Montclair Community Center	11:30 a.m. - 1:00 p.m.
16	Real Estate Committee Meeting - Canceled	
16	Code Enforcement/Public Safety Committee Meeting - Canceled	
16	City Council Meeting - Canceled	
17	Benefits Fair Community Center	11:00 a.m. - 2:00 p.m.
18	Safety Committee Meeting City Hall Conference Room	9:00 a.m.
18	Public Works Committee Meeting City Hall Conference Room	4:00 p.m.
22-25	International Council of Shopping Centers (ICSC) Las Vegas Convention Center	
23	Planning Commission Meeting Council Chambers	7:00 p.m.
30	Memorial Day – City Offices Closed	
31	City Manager's Staff Meeting City Hall Conference Room	9:00 a.m.



Mayor's Prayer Luncheon



May 5, 2016





Notice of Vacancy

The City of Montclair
Invites You to Become Active in Your Community

There are four (4) vacancies on the Community Action Committee

Committee's Purpose: To serve as an advisory body to the City Council on matters related to the evaluation of recreational programs and to propose additional City-approved programs designed to meet the cultural and social needs of the residents of the community.

Qualifications: Members must be residents of the City of Montclair.

Meetings: Montclair City Council Chambers
5111 Benito Street, Montclair
First Wednesday of each month at 7:00 p.m.,
except during the months of July and August
when the Community Action Committee is dark.

Length of Term: Four (4) years - Term expires June 30, 2020.

Stipend: \$50 per meeting attended

Applications are being accepted in the City Clerk's office until 5:30 p.m. on Thursday, June 2, 2016.

Application forms are available at City Hall, 5111 Benito Street, Montclair, or by calling (909) 625-9416.